

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19497 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1282 Year-2024 Thana- WEST CHAMPARAN COMPLAINT
District- West Champaran

-
-
1. Deepu Kumar Son of Sri Dhrup Sah Resident of Village - Chhawani, Behind Chudiya Mayi Mandir, P.S. - Manuapul, District - West Champaran
 2. Dhrup Sah Son of Late Bharat Sah Resident of Village - Chhawani, Behind Chudiya Mayi Mandir, P.S. - Manuapul, District - West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Khushboo Kumari Wife of Deepu Kumar, Daughter of Sri Prabhat Sah Resident of Mohalla - Naya Bazaar, Purani Gudari, Bettiah, P.S. - Kalibagh, District - West Champaran

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Umesh Chandra Verma, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr.Arun Kumar, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr.Ram Kishore Prasad, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

4 16-09-2025 Heard Mr. Umesh Chandra Verma, learned counsel

for the petitioners and Mr. Ram Kishore Prasad, learned

counsel for the informant beside learned counsel for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending arrest in
connection with Complaint Case No. 1282 of 2024 instituted
under Sections 341, 323, 498A, 504, 506/34 of the Indian
Penal Code corresponding to sections 126(2), 115(2), 85, 352,
351 of the Bhartiya Nayay Sanhita, 2023.



3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged that she got married to the petitioner in the year 2021 and the family gave dowry worth Rs. 15 lakh. As the petitioner family demanded the same stating that she is in the government job, for the same reason, she was regularly tortured. A Panchayati also took place but without any success. Later, Matrimonial Case No. 147 of 2022 was filed in which she filed Maintenance Case No. 90M/2023. As she was in dire need of her valuable, went to their place where she was assaulted. This led to the FIR.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the fact that he has filed petition for restitution of conjugal rights shows that he wants to continue with the relationship.

5. On the other hand, learned counsel for the informant submits that this is the second time, she has been assaulted, firstly, when the case was registered under Domestic Violence Case No. 22 of 2024, this followed the cases before the competent Civil Court and when she went to take back the belongings, assaulted.

6. Considering the submissions of the parties and the way, the complainant has been treated by the petitioner despite being a government employee, earlier also a case was



registered under Domestic Violence Act, in that background it would be appropriate he seek bail.

7. The anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

