

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20770 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-170 Year-2024 Thana- SIMRI BAKHTIYARPUR District-
Saharsa

-
1. Dilo Paswan Son of Late Medani Paswan Resident of village - Kanutola, P.S.- Simri Bakhtiyarpur, Dist.- Saharsa
 2. Sulekha Devi Wife of Dilo Paswan Resident of village - Kanutola, P.S.- Simri Bakhtiyarpur, Dist.- Saharsa

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioners	:	Mr. Chandra Mohan Jha, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Zainul Abedin, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 23-04-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioners and learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 366A, 372, 120B, 363 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per prosecution case, informant, namely Indal Paswan, alleged that on 10.04.2023 in the night, his daughter was kidnapped by all the F.I.R. named accused persons, including these petitioners and 3-4 unknown persons. It is further alleged that when informant went to house of the accused persons, he was threatened and turned away.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on



behalf of the petitioners that petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence. As a matter of fact, Petitioner No. 1 is father and Petitioner No. 2 is mother of co-accused Satyam Paswan and they have falsely been implicated in this case merely because they happen to be parents of co-accused Satyam Paswan who is alleged to have kidnapped minor daughter of informant. It is further submitted that the victim in her statement recorded under Section 183 of the B.N.S.S. has denied the *factum* of kidnapping and has categorically stated that she has solemnized marriage with co-accused Satyam Paswan. The learned trial court has assessed the age of victim as 19 years. Petitioners claim clean antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Considering the nature of accusation, statement of victim recorded under Section 183 of the B.N.S.S. and clean antecedents of the petitioners, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners is allowed.

7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named petitioners be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.



10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Saharsa in connection with Bakhtiyarpur P.S. Case No. 170 of 2024, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

