

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20725 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-2 Year-2025 Thana- MANJHAGARH District- Gopalganj

PANJIT KUMAR @ ADITYA @ ADITYA KUMAR @ PANJIT S/O LATE
DALU SAH R/O VILLAGE- BARHARIA, P.S.- BARHARIA, DISTRICT-
SIWAN, 841232

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Krishna Prasad Singh, Sr. Adv. Mr. Akshay Lal Pandit, Adv. Mr. Arvind Kumar, Adv. Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Raj Ballabh Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

3 07-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Manjhagarh P.S. Case No. 02 of 2025 dated 02.01.2025 registered for the offences punishable u/ss 8, 20, 22 and 29 of the N.D.P.S. Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, 41 gms. Brown colour smack like substance and Rs. 25,120/- in cash were recovered from the possession of the petitioner and 3 gms. brown colour smack like substance kept in six puriyas and Rs. 8,990/- in cash were recovered from the possession of the co-accused, Umesh Ram @ Umesh Kumar Ram.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The name of the petitioner has sprung up in the confessional statement of the co-accused, Umesh Ram @ Umesh Kumar Ram. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. It is further submitted that the seized contraband is less than commercial quantity. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged recovery. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 03.01.2025. The co-accused person has already been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 22.03.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 14822 of 2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, Gopalganj in connection with Manjhagarh P.S. Case No. 02 of 2025 with the condition :-



(i) The petitioner is directed to remain physically present before the learned Court below on each and every date, failing which on two consecutive dates without reasonable cause, the bail bond of the petitioner is liable to be cancelled.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

shivam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

