

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.1422 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-82 Year-2018 Thana- CHHATAPUR District- Supaul

1. Mulo Yadav @ Mulo Pd. Yadav Son of Late Bhavi Yadav Resident of Village- Charne, Police Station- Chhatapur (Rajeshwari O.P.), Dist. - Supaul
2. Pramod Yadav Son of Ganga Yadav Resident of Village- Charne, Police Station- Chhatapur (Rajeshwari O.P.), Dist. - Supaul
3. Kameshwar Yadav Son of Surya Narayan Yadav Resident of Village- Tamua, Police Station- Chhatapur (Rajeshwari O.P.), Dist. - Supaul
4. Fuleshwar Yadav son of Late Munar Yadav Resident of Village- Charne, Police Station- Chhatapur (Rajeshwari O.P.), Dist. - Supaul
5. Sunil Yadav Son of Fuleshwar Yadav Resident of Village- Tamua, Police Station- Chhatapur (Rajeshwari O.P.), Dist. - Supaul
6. Akhilesh Yadav @ Akhilesh Kr. Yadav Son of Bishun Yadav Resident of Village- Tamua, Police Station- Chhatapur (Rajeshwari O.P.), Dist. - Supaul

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellants	:	Mr. Prafull Chandra Thakur, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Binay Krishna, Spl.PP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

4 21-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the appellants and learned
Spl.P.P. for the State.

2. This appeal has been filed for setting aside order dated 12.02.2024 passed by the learned Sessions Judge-Ist-cum-Special Judge (SC/ST), Supaul in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 341, 323, 354, 504, 379, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3(1)(w)(1) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of



Atrocities) Act, whereby the prayer for anticipatory bail of these appellants has been rejected.

3. At the outset, it is submitted by learned Spl.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State that the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail to these appellants is not maintainable as cognizance has already been taken by the learned trial court also for the offences punishable under the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act. In this regard, reliance is placed in the case of **Bachu Das Vs. State of Bihar and others**, reported in **(2014) 3 Supreme Court Cases 471**.

4. In view of the aforesaid submissions and pronouncement of law rendered by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in the case of **Bachu Das** (*supra*), appellants’ prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail is rejected and this appeal stands dismissed.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

