

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19712 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-360 Year-2024 Thana- PIRPAINTI District- Bhagalpur

=====

Arun Das @ Arun Kumar Das S/o Jichchhu Ravidas @ Jichhcho Ravidas R/o
village - Laxmipur, P.S. - Pirpanti, Dist. - Bhagalpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Davendra Kumar Pandey, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Surendra Kumar, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 08-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
APP for the State and perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Pirapainti P.S. Case No. 360 of 2024, instituted for the offences
punishable under Section 64 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita,
2023.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that, the petitioner
entered into the house of the informant and committed rape
upon her.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the
present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case and
Charge has also been framed against the petitioner. Learned
counsel for the petitioner also submits that the petitioner is



brother-in-law of the informant and due to previous land dispute the petitioner has been falsely implicated by the informant. It is further submitted that the victim has been examined by the doctor and the doctor has found no spermatozoa and no any external or internal injury on the victim. The petitioner is in custody since 18.07.2024 and has got no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner and submits that the victim in her statement recorded under Section 183 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 has stated that the petitioner forcibly committed wrong act with her. It is further submitted that there is specific allegation levelled against the petitioner. Hence, the petitioner does not deserve the privilege of bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, nature of accusation and the gravity of the offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. The prayer is rejected. The trial Court is directed to expedite the Trial.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

