

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20159 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-356 Year-2024 Thana- RIGA District- Sitamarhi

1. Laxmi Das S/o Late Tufani Das Resident of Village- Bhavanipur, Tole Chotahi, P.S.- Riga, District- Sitamarhi
2. Amrita Devi Wife of Laxmi Das Resident of Village- Bhavanipur, Tole Chotahi, P.S.- Riga, District- Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pushpendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nirmala Kumari , APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 25-04-2025 Heard learned counsels for the parties.

2. The petitioners seeks regular bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 80, 238 and 3(5) of the B.N.S..

3 . The prosecution case, in brief, is that marriage of sister of informant was solemnized on 20.12.2020 with co-accused Survind Kumar as per Hindu rites and rituals and thereafter, it is alleged that the victim was subjected to cruelty and torture by all the accused persons named in the F.I.R., including these petitioners, due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry of Rs. 3,00,000/- cash. It is further alleged that on 16.10.2024, informant came to know that all the accused



persons have committed murder of his sister by strangulating.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. Petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case merely because Petitioner No. 1 happens to be father-in-law and Petitioner No. 2 happens to be mother-in-law of the deceased. Petitioners are victim of over implication. There are general and omnibus allegations and there is no specific allegation of demand of dowry or torture against these petitioners. Petitioners are separate in mess & property and have got no concern with the affairs of the deceased and her husband. It is further submitted that thrust of accusation is against husband of the deceased who is already in custody since 19.11.2024. Petitioners claim clean antecedents and are in custody since 30.12.2024 . Chargesheet has already been submitted.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioners and submitted that petitioners are named in the F.I.R. with accusation that these petitioners, along with other accused persons, killed sister of informant due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.



6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, general and omnibus nature of accusation and clean antecedents of the petitioners, the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioners is allowed.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts of the case , period of custody and other circumstances of the case , prayer for regular bail of the petitioners is allowed. Let the petitioners, mentioned above, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-VI, Sitamarhi in connection with Riga P.S. Case No. 356 of 2024 .

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Koushik/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

