

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.22961 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-52 Year-2024 Thana- JOGBANI District- Araria

=====

Md. Sadrul @ Sadrul Ansari S/o Late Jalim Miyan @ Jamaluddin R/o
Village- Indra Nagar, Tikuliya Basti, Ward no. 3, PS - Jogbani, District-
Araria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vivekanand Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Surendra Prasad Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

2 18-04-2025

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant of regular bail in connection with Special N.D.P.S. Case no.130 of 2024 (arising out of Jogbani P.S. Case no.52 of 2024) registered under sections 21 and 22 of the N.D.P.S. Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant states that seeing the police personnel, the two accused persons of which one was a woman and another a man managed to escape. The petitioner was identified among the accused who escaped. It is further stated that on search the medicines, details of which has been given in the FIR, were recovered.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the earlier prayer for bail of the petitioner was rejected vide order



dated 22.11.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. no.61619 of 2024 giving liberty to the petitioner to renew his prayer for bail after framing of charge or on completing one year in custody whichever is later. Charge has been framed in the learned trial Court on 15.1.2025 and the petitioner is in custody since 13.7.2024.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the allegations against the petitioner in the FIR, though charge has been framed in the learned trial Court, however, the petitioner not having completed one year in custody (since 13.7.2024), in view of the observation given in the earlier order of rejection dated 22.11.2024, the Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and the application is rejected.

7. The petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail as per liberty granted in the earlier order of rejection dated 22.11.2024.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Saurabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

