

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20723 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-14 Year-2025 Thana- SHERGHATI District- Gaya

Mansoor Alam @ Jamman Mistri @ Jumman Mistri S/o- Late Abdul Rahman, R/o Mohalla- (Ramna) Ramna Mela, PS- Sherghati, Distt- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Paras Nath, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Humayou Ahmad Khan, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

4 20-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. In the present case, the petitioner seeks bail in connection with Sherghati P.S. Case No. 14 of 2025 dated 08.01.2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 3, 4 and 5 of the Explosive Substances Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, a bomb exploded on the roof of the petitioner's house causing injury to his minor son and his friend. It also transpired that the bomb was kept on the roof by the co-accused nephew of the petitioner, who was also made accused with other persons in another case for exploding bombs in a clinic of a Doctor.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and he has falsely



been implicated in the present case. The nephew of the petitioner kept the bomb without his consent and the petitioner had no knowledge about the same. Even the son of the petitioner received injuries in accidental explosion. The petitioner is rather a victim. It is the accused Md. Sohel who had hidden the bomb on the roof without any information to this petitioner. The petitioner has been working as a mason and was not even present at the place of occurrence when the bomb exploded. Learned counsel next submits that petitioner is having clean antecedent and chargesheet has been submitted. Learned counsel lastly submits that petitioner is in custody since 14.01.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State opposes the submission made on behalf of the petitioner.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances and submissions made on behalf of the parties and considering the nature of allegation against the petitioner and further considering the period of custody and submission of chargesheet, the petitioner, above-named, is directed to be released on bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief



Judicial Magistrate-I, Sherghati, Gaya / concerned Court, in connection with **Sherghati P.S. Case No. 14 of 2025**, subject to the condition laid down under Section 480(3) of the B.N.S.S. and other following conditions:

- (i) One of the bailors will be a close relative of the petitioner.*
- (ii) The petitioner will remain present on each and every date fixed by the court below, if so required by the learned trial Court.*
- (iii) In case of non-appearance of the petitioner on single date or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioner will be liable to be cancelled by the Court concerned.*

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

Shahnawaz/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

