

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19520 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-65 Year-2024 Thana- BHAWANIPUR District- Purnia

=====

Sintu Muni @ Sintu Kumar, S/o Dukhan Muni, Resident of Village-
Brahmganyi, Ward No 08 , Police Station-Bhawanipur, District-Purnea

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rakesh Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs.Sharda Kumari, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

4 23-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. In the present case, the petitioner seeks bail in connection with Bhawanipur P.S. Case No. 65 of 2024 for the offences punishable under Sections 304(B)/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per prosecution case, the daughter of the informant was married with the petitioner two years back and allegation against the petitioner and other co-accused persons is that they caused the death of the daughter of the informant by hanging her by means of *saree*.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the



petitioner, who is the husband of the deceased, is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. The wife of the petitioner committed suicide. When the occurrence took place, the petitioner was in Punjab and has been working as a labourer. Coming to know about the occurrence, which took place in his house, the petitioner rushed back from Jalandhar to Patna by train. The learned counsel further submits that the petitioner and the deceased had a son and the deceased was carrying pregnancy. It is not believable that the petitioner would kill her without any reason or motive. Rail ticket was produced by the petitioner for not being present at his house during the relevant time and the same could be easily verified by the police with the help of CDR and CCTV footage at Jalandhar Cant and New Delhi Railway station. The learned counsel further submits that there is no direct or circumstantial evidence against the petitioner. The learned counsel further submits that after completion of investigation, charge sheet has been submitted and cognizance has already been taken by the learned trial court. The petitioner is in custody since 11.09.2024 and is having clean antecedent.

5. Learned APP vehemently opposes the submission made on behalf of the petitioner. The learned APP submits that



the petitioner is the husband of the daughter of the informant and there is allegation that the petitioner and other co-accused persons killed the wife of the petitioner in furtherance of their common intention.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and submissions made on behalf of the parties and considering the period of custody of the petitioner, submission of charge sheet and his clean antecedent, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Purnea, in connection with Bhawanipur P.S. Case No. 65 of 2024, subject to the conditions mentioned in Section 480 (3) of BNSS and also the following conditions :

- (i) One of the bailors will be a close relative of the petitioner.
- (ii) The petitioner will remain present on each and every date fixed by the court below.
- (iii) In case of absence on three consecutive dates or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bonds of the



petitioner will be liable to be cancelled by
the court concerned.

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

V.K.Pandey/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

