

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5048 of 2025

=====

Dhananjay Madhu Son of Bhagwan Singh, Resident of Village- Shekhpura,
P.O.- Nandlalbad, P.S.- Gaurichak, District- Patna. Petitioner/s
Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Panchayat Raj Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Secretary-cum-Second Appellate Authority, Panchayati Raj Department, Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Patna.
5. The District Panchayat Raj Officer, Patna.
6. The District Education Officer, Patna.
7. The District Quality Monitor, Zila Parishad, Patna.
8. The Block Development Officer, Punpun, Patna.
9. The Block Panchayat Raj Officer, Punpun, District- Patna.
10. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj, Baranwa, Punpun, District- Patna.. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Sushant Pratap Singh, Advocate
For State respondent	:	Mr. K.P Gupta, G.P.-10
	:	Mr. Satya Vrat, A.C. to G.P.-10
For the Zila Parishad	:	Mr.Nikesh Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 03-04-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the State and learned counsel for Zila Parishad.

2. The petitioner has challenged the legality/validity of notice dated 28.02.2025 issued by the respondent No.3 by which the authority has asked some clarification from the petitioner and petitioner without filing an application has moved before this Court and thereafter the petitioner has filed supplementary affidavit stating therein that he has filed reply to



the aforesaid notice to the authority concerned on 28.02.2025.

3. Learned counsel for the State submits that when the matter is pending before the authority concerned for consideration of the reply to the notice of the petitioner and matter is still pending before the authority concerned.

4. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and in view of the aforesaid, this writ application stands disposed of with the direction to the respondent No.3 to consider the reply to the notice of the petitioner in accordance with law and pass a speaking and necessary order after hearing all concerned within a period of three months.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

