

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1108 of 2019

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.272 of 2018

=====

Navin Kumar S/o Lakhan Singh R/o Punaul, P.O.- Chota Sheikhpura, P.S.- Narhat, Distt.- Nawada at present posted as Asst. Teacher Newly Created, Primary School, Rajapur, Mayapur, P.S. Narhat, Distt.- Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Sri R.K. Mahajan, the Principal Secretary, Human Resources Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. Sri R.K. Mahajan, The Principal Secretary Human Resources Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. Sri Ajay Kumar Chaudhary, The Director Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. Sri Nand Kumar, The Adll. Director Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
5. Sri Kaushal Kumar, The District Magistrate cum Collector Nawada at Nawada.
6. Sri Suresh Chaudhary, The District Education Officer Nawada at Nawada.
7. Sri Bharat Bhushan Prasad, The District Programme Officer (Estb.) Nawada.
8. Sri Umesh Kumar Singh, The Block Development Officer Narhat Block, Narhat, Nawada.
9. Sri Bidyanand Thakur, The Block Education Officer Narhat Block, Narhat, District Nawada.
10. Smt. Urmial Devi, The Mukhiya Gram Panchayat Raj, Punthar Panchayat, Punther, Narhat, Nawada cum Chairman Selection Unit Panchayat Teacher, Punther Gram Panchayat, Punther, Block Narhat, District- Nawada.
11. Sri Randhir Kumar, The Secretary Gram Panchayat Raj Punther Gram Panchayat Punther, Block Narhat, District Nawada.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amresh Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Shilpa Singh (Ga12)
Ms. Neetu Jha, AC to GA-12

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NANI TAGIA

ORAL ORDER

2 29-07-2025 Heard Mr. Amresh Kumar Sinha, learned counsel for

the petitioner and Ms Neetu Jha, learned counsel for the

opposite parties.

2. This contempt application has been filed alleging violation of the order dated 16.02.2018 passed in CWJC No. 272 of 2018 whereby, the respondents have been directed to examine the claim of the petitioner for payment of salary.

3. Opposite party No. 7 has filed a show-cause wherein, in paragraph No. 9 it has been stated that the case of the petitioner was verified and it was found that the petitioner was not appointed as Panchayat Teacher in N.P.S. Mayapur and accordingly no salary could be paid.

4. In that view of the matter, this contempt petition shall stand closed and disposed off.

(Nani Tagia, J)

Nishant/-

U			
---	--	--	--