

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19989 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-405 Year-2024 Thana- TARAIYA District- Saran

Pappu Singh Son of Late RAMnath Singh Resident of Village- Dewrhi
(Dewdhi), P.S.- Taraiya, District- Saran at Chapra (Bihar)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Meena Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER**

2 28-04-2025 Heard Mr. Rakesh Kumar, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Ms. Meena Singh learned Additional Public

Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in
connection with Taraiya P.S. Case No. 405 of 2024, F.I.R dated
20.10.2024 registered for the offences punishable under Section
30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise (Amended) Act, 2022.

3. Recovery is of 120 liters of country spirit liquor.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner has clean antecedent and has falsely been
implicated in the present case. He further submits that the
allegation as alleged in the F.I.R is false and fabricated. He
further submits that it appears from the F.I.R and seizure list that
nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of



the petitioner rather the recovery has been made from the bush. Altogether, 120 litres of spirit liquor was recovered from the bush and the name of the petitioner has been transpired only on the basis of the disclosure made by the local Chowkidar. Except the aforesaid nothing has come during the course of investigation which suggests the involvement of the petitioner in the present occurrence. There is non-compliance with mandatory procedure prescribed for recovery under Section 100 of Cr.P.C / Section 103 of Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita. No case, whatsoever, would be made out against the petitioner under the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner referring the provision contained in Section 76(2) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act and submitted that the pre-arrest bail would not be maintainable.

6. This court is aware of the decision of the Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar** reported in **2019(2) P.L.J.R. 1089**. Having regard to the law laid down in the aforesaid judgment and the submission advanced on behalf of the parties, this Court, for the limited purpose of grant of anticipatory bail, is inclined to accept the submission of



counsel for the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts, nothing has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner, the petitioner has clean antecedent and he has been made accused only on the basis of the disclosure made by the local Chowkidar, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his Taraiya P.S. Case No. 405 of 2024 arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned 3rd Exclusive Special Excise Judge, Saran at Chapra in connection with Taraiya P.S. Case No. 405 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure / Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and with other following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the



witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Jyoti Kumari/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

