

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19824 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-189 Year-2015 Thana- AMAS District- Gaya

=====

Rambhajju Yadav @ Rambhaju Yadav @ Ram Bhajju Yadav @ Ram Bhaju
Yadav S/O Preman Yadav R/O Village- Rebda, P.S.- Dhangai (Barachatti),
District-Gaya (Bihar).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Uma Kant Mishra, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Rita Verma, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 08-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
APP for the State and perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Amas
P.S. Case No. 189 of 2015, instituted for the offences punishable
under Section 395 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that, two
unknown miscreants intercepted the informant and committed
loot of ornaments, cash, mobile phone, etc. and fled away from
the spot.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the
present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. No



incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the petitioner is not named in the FIR. Name of the petitioner has transpired in this case on the basis of self-confession before the police and the same has got no evidentiary value. It is further submitted that neither any looted article has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner nor any T.I. parade has been conducted in this case. The petitioner is in custody since 05.12.2024 and has got ten criminal antecedents in which he is on bail.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and taking into account the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail ***after framing of charge, if not already framed*** on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Amas P.S. Case No. 189 of 2015, subject to the following conditions:



(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(III) If the petitioner is found involved in similar nature of offence in future, the Trial Court will have the liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(IV) If the petitioner is found involved in similar nature of offence in future, the Trial Court will have the liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(V) The petitioner will not leave the territorial jurisdiction of the Court below without its prior permission for the same.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

