

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5566 of 2022

Raju Bhagat, Son of Nanhu Bhagat, Resident of Village - Pinjoar, P.S. - Kako, Block - Kako, District - Jehanabad. At presently posted on the post of Nagar Panchayat Teacher in Primary School Ladua Nagar Makhadumpur, District - Jehanabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India, through the Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Government of India, New Delhi.
2. The Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Government of India, New Delhi.
3. The Additional Secretary Human Resources Development Department, Government of India, New Delhi.
4. The Secretary, Department of School Education and Literacy, Ministry of Human Resources Development, Government of India, Shastri Bhawan New Delhi.
5. The State of Bihar, through the Principal Secretary Human Resources Development Government of Bihar, Patna.
6. The Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development, Government of Bihar, Patna.
7. The Director, Primary Education, Human Resources Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
8. The District Officer (District Magistrate), District - Jehanabad.
9. The District Programme Officer (D.P.O.), District - Jehanabad.
10. The District Education Officer (D.E.O.), District - Jehanabad.
11. The Block Development Officer, Block - Kako, District - Jehanabad.
12. The Block Education Officer (B.E.O.), Block Kako, District - Jehanabad.
13. The Block Development Officer, Block Makhadumpur, District - Jehanabad.
14. The Block Education Officer, Block Makhadumpur, District - Jehanabad.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Arvind Prasad Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Additional Solicitor General

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

3 10-02-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.



2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present writ petition with a liberty to file an application before the District Appellate Authority.

3. Permission is granted.

4. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, petitioner is directed to file an application before the District Appellate Authority within a period of thirty days and the District Appellate Authority is directed to dispose of the same, in accordance with law, after hearing the parties, by a reasoned and speaking order.

5. With the aforesaid observations and directions, this writ application stands disposed of.

6. It goes without saying that if any question of limitation arises before the District Appellate Authority, the same shall be considered, taking into consideration the fact that the petitioner was pursuing the issue before this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

anand/-

U			
---	--	--	--

