

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18657 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-282 Year-2024 Thana- Marnga District- Purnia

Bholu Kumar Son of Umesh Roy village- Vikashnagar, Maranga, Ps-  
Maranga Dist- Purnea

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ram Prawesh Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Md. Nazir Ansari, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH  
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

3      26-09-2025                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The Petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Maranga P.S. Case No. 282 of 2024 dated 02.11.2024 registered for the offences punishable u/s 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Amendment Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, total 121.015 litres of illicit foreign liquor was recovered from the house of the co-accused person.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the



bail petition. The name of the petitioner has sprung up in the confessional statement of the co-accused, Mithilesh Kumar and Nilesh Kumar. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, hence no case is made out. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged recovery. The co-accused person has already been granted regular bail by this court vide order dated 04.07.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 24384/ 2025. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the nature of allegation against the



petitioner, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Purnea in connection with Maranga P.S. Case No. 282 of 2024, subject to conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

7. The application stands allowed.

**(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)**

Gautam/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

