

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19353 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-383 Year-2024 Thana- RANIGANJ District- Araria

- 1. Ali Ahmad @ Ahmad Ali @ Aliahmad @ Chotku @ Chhotaku S/O Late Shaukat Ali Resident of Village- Badi Rampur, Ward No 03, PS- Raniganj, District- Araria
- 2. Pravej Alam @ Munna S/O Late Ali Hussain Resident of Village- Badi Rampur, Ward No 03, PS- Raniganj, District- Araria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

with
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 19575 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-383 Year-2024 Thana- RANIGANJ District- Araria

- 1. Wajda Khatun @ Wajda @ Vajda Khatun @ Wazda Khatoon W/O Afroj Alam Resident of Village- Badi Rampur, Ward No 03, PS- Raniganj, District- Araria
- 2. Afroj Alam S/O Late Ali Hassan @ Husain Resident of Village- Badi Rampur, Ward No 03, PS- Raniganj, District- Araria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :
(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 19353 of 2025)
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Awadhesh Kumar Singh
(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 19575 of 2025)
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Awadhesh Kumar Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 07-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State in both cases.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence under Sections 103(1), 61(2) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 27 of the Arms Act.



3. The case of the prosecution is that the informant was married to Amroj Alam and due to dispute, Amroj Alam and informant were living separately from rest of the family. It is alleged that two days prior to the occurrence, Ali Ahmad (petitioner no. 1 in Cr.Misc. No. 19353/25) had threatened that he would kill them. It is further alleged that on 21.08.2024 at 7:30 PM, somebody called husband of informant to come outside the house and her husband went outside the house by saying that he would come in five minutes, but he did not return. The informant suspects that all the F.I.R. named accused persons including petitioners (in both cases), conspiring with each other, killed her husband.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners in both cases submits that petitioners are none else but close family members of the deceased. Petitioner no. 1 (in Cr.Misc. No. 19353/25) is maternal uncle of deceased, whereas petitioners (in Cr.Misc. No. 19575/25) are mother and father respectively of deceased and it is highly improbable that they would kill their own son. From perusal of F.I.R., it is apparent that only suspicion has been raised against petitioners and except suspicion, there is no other material to support the allegation made in the F.I.R. Co-accused Md. Imdadulla has been granted regular bail by a coordinate



Bench of this Court, vide order dated 21.02.2025 passed in Cr.Misc. No. 274 of 2025 (Annexure P/3). Petitioners claim clean antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the bail petition.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the above named petitioners (in both cases), in the event of their arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Araria in connection with Raniganj P.S. Case No. 383 of 2024, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482 of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

anay

U		T	
---	--	---	--

