

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19591 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-14 Year-2025 Thana- SHEIKHOPUR SARAI District-
Sheikhpura

=====

Rajiv Prasad S/O Sanjay Prasad Resident of Village- Panchi, P.S- Shekhopur
Sarai, District- Sheikhpura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Syed. Rizwanul Haque, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Arun Kumar Pandey, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 29-04-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for
grant of regular bail in connection with Sheikhopur Sarai P.S.
Case No. 14/2025 dated 24.01.2025 registered for the offence
punishable u/s 316(2), 319(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2),
61(2) read with Section 3(5) of the B.N.S. and Section 66C and
66D of the I.T. Act

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant received
an information that 10-12 persons were engaged in online cyber
fraud activities using mobile phones from the premises of an old
coaching center belonging to Ramavtar Munshi at Village,
Panchi. At 6:20, the police team reached the coaching center and



a raid was conducted where the petitioner and the co-accused persons were apprehended. On search, multiple mobile phones of various brands along with active SIM cards of Airtel and Jio were recovered. Thereafter, the petitioner and the co-accused persons confessed that they used to lure innocent persons by sending fake advertisements of providing business loans through a fictitious finance company name Lenoing-Kart Finance Ltd.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged occurrence. Learned counsel has submitted that one mobile phone was recovered from the possession of the petitioner which was his personal phone. The petitioner has two antecedents as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 25.01.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of



the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, Sheikhpura in connection with Sheikhopur Sarai P.S. Case No. 14/2025, with the conditions :-

(i). The petitioner is directed to remain physically present before the learned Court below on each and every date, failing which on two consecutive dates without reasonable cause, the bail bond of the petitioner is liable to be cancelled.

(ii). If the petitioner is found involved in similar nature of offence in the future, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of his bail bonds.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

khushbu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

