

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17711 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-184 Year-2024 Thana- GWALPARA District- Madhepura

1. Ranjeet Sharma S/O Ramdev Sharma @ Rameshwar Sharma Village- Defra, PS- Gwalpara, (Arar O.P.) District- Madhepura
2. Naveen Sharma @ Niranjana Kumar S/O Ranjeet Sharma Village- Defra, PS- Gwalpara, (Arar O.P.) District- Madhepura

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

4 09-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the State .

2. Petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under sections 123 , 103 (1) 3 (5) of the BNS.

3 . As per written report of informant, it is alleged that all the F.I.R. named accused persons including these petitioners administered poison to husband of informant due to which he died. It is further alleged that reason of the occurrence is land dispute . The occurrence is alleged to have taken place at about 06:30 – 07:00 hours on 31.08.2024 while the deceased was returning to his house after doing *Majdoori* and the same



fact was disclosed by deceased during course of treatment at JJKT Medical College & Hospital.

4. It is submitted on behalf of the petitioners that informant is not eye witness to the alleged occurrence and only suspicion has been raised against this petitioner. The alleged occurrence took place on 31.08.2024 but F.I.R. has been lodged on 09.09.2024 i. e., after delay of 9 days for which there is no plausible explanation which itself casts serious doubt over the veracity of the prosecution case. However, during course of investigation, it has come that husband of informant was a habitual drinker of liquor and he died due to drinking low quality liquor. There is no direct or indirect material has come against these petitioners to show their complicity in the alleged occurrence. None of the witnesses claimed to have seen these petitioners committing the alleged occurrence. Petitioners claim clean antecedent.

5. Learned counsel for the State oppose the bail petition.

6 Considering the aforesaid facts, clean antecedent of these petitioners and other circumstances of the case, in the event of arrest or surrender within eight weeks from today, let the petitioners, as named above, be enlarged on bail on



furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned JM 1st Class Udakishunganj Madhepura in connection with Gwalpara (Arar OP) P.S. Case No. 184 of 2024 , subject to the conditions laid down under section 482 (2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) , 2023 .

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Koushik/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

