

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.780 of 2021**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-31 Year-2018 Thana- GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL COMP.  
District- Patna

=====

Gyan Infrabuild Private Ltd., Awleshpur, Varanasi, Through Its Director Anita Pradhan Having its Registered Office At Awleshpur, Varanasi, U.P. 221006, Branch Office-Plot No.2, Samanpura Road, Rajabazar, District-Patna Through Its Director Anita Pradhan W/O Sri Ghanshyam Pradhan, Resident Of AG Colony, P.S-Sashtrinagar, District-Patna.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The Union of India Through Director National Investigating Agency (N.I.A.), New Delhi.
2. The Director, National Investigating Agency (N.I.A), New Delhi.
3. The D.S.P./Chief Investigating Officer (DSP/CIO) NIA, Branch Office Lucknow, Camp Office Patna.
4. The State of Bihar Through Director General of Police, Bihar.

... .. Respondents

=====

**Appearance :**

|                     |   |                                                                          |
|---------------------|---|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner  | : | Mr. Rajendra Narayan, Sr. Advocate<br>Mr. Amrendra Narayan Rai, Advocate |
| For the Respondents | : | Mr. Arbind Kumar, Advocate<br>Mr. Deepak Kumar, AC to G.P.-4             |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR**  
**ORAL ORDER**

13    29-08-2025                      The present Criminal Writ Petition has been preferred against the impugned order dated 06.02.2021, passed by learned Special Judge, NIA, Patna in Special Case No. 7 of 2019, RC-31/2018, C.I.S. 07/19 titled Manjar Alam & Others Vs. State through N.I.A., whereby learned Special Judge has rejected his application for release of cash of Rs.29,06,410/- along with the other articles seized.

2. However, learned counsel for the Petitioner submits



that this Writ Petition was inadvertently filed because as per the N.I.A. Act, every order of the N.I.A, Court is appealable, and hence, the Petitioner is required to file appropriate appeal against the impugned order.

3. Hence, he is seeking permission to withdraw the present petition so that he can file appropriate appeal against the impugned orders in the matter.

4. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty as sought for by the Petitioner.

**(Jitendra Kumar, J.)**

Chandan/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

