

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17936 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-661 Year-2023 Thana- TURKAULIYA District- East
Champaran

=====

Guddu Manjhi Son of Kishore Manjhi Resident of Village - Jaishihpur Mauja,
P.S. - Turkauliya, District - East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Abhishek Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nitya Nand Tiwary, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4 24-06-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

APP for the State. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Turkauliya P.S. Case No. 661 of 2023 instituted for the offences
under Sections 304(B), 120(B) of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per prosecution case, the accusation against the
accused persons including the petitioner is of committing
murder of the Informant's daughter due to non-fulfillment of
dowry demand.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence as alleged
against him and has falsely been implicated in the present case.
merely on the basis of suspicion. The petitioner has not



committed any offence as alleged in the F.I.R. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is no direct or specific allegation of any overt act against the petitioner rather the same is general and omnibus in nature. The petitioner is the brother-in-law of the deceased. He further submits that the deceased and her husband were separate in mess and business and the petitioner has been unnecessarily roped in the present case only due to ulterior motive. The petitioner has never caused any bodily injury leading to her death or otherwise than the normal circumstances. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the co-accused Kishor Manjhi @ Kishori Manjhi has already been granted bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 04.03.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 8488 of 2024. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent and is languishing in judicial custody since 07.12.2024 without any rhymes or reason.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner, stating that the offence alleged against the petitioner is serious in nature. The petitioner is named in the F.I.R. and, hence, he does not deserve bail.

6. Having heard rival contention of both the parties



and considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case, the period of custody of the petitioner, the petitioner having no criminal antecedent as also the prayer for bail being based on parity, let the petitioner, abovenamed, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Turkauliya P.S. Case No. 661 of 2023.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

