

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.25702 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-773 Year-2023 Thana- CIVIL LINE District- Gaya

Ruby Parween D/o Md. Sabir Ahmad, W/o Md. Mumtaz Husain Resident of  
Village Millat colony PS civil Lines District Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Yogendra Prasad Yadav S/o Chando Yadav, R/o Vill - Bhatt Bigha Katari Road, P.S. - Rampur, Distt. - Gaya.
3. Ram Pravesh Yadav S/o Shri Yogendra Prasad Yadav, R/o Vill - Bhatt Bigha Katari Road, P.S. - Rampur, Distt. - Gaya.
4. Krishan Dev Yadav S/o Shri Yogendra Prasad Yadav, R/o Vill - Bhatt Bigha Katari Road, P.S. - Rampur, Distt. - Gaya.

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shashi Kant, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, A.P.P.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL DUTTA MISHRA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      19-11-2025                      1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The present Cr. Misc. Application has been filed for  
cancellation of regular bail granted to the opposite party nos.2 to  
4 by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-I<sup>st</sup>, Gaya *vide* order  
dated 31.01.2024 passed in B.P. No.129 of 2024.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
despite the cogent evidence against the opposite party nos.2 to  
4, the learned Trial Court had granted regular bail *vide* order  
dated 31.01.2024 and on this ground, the regular bail of  
opposite party nos.2 to 4 may be cancelled.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State submits that learned



Court concerned considering the merit of the case had granted regular bail to the opposite party nos.2 to 4 and there is no illegality in the said order.

5. In view of the submission of learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances that the learned Court concerned has noted that opposite party nos.2 to 4 have no criminal antecedent and the charge sheet had already been filed, no further custodial interrogation is required in the present case and keeping in mind the custody period, had granted regular bail to opposite party nos.2 to 4. It is also noted that the real dispute is of friendly loan, so for the recovery of loan, the petitioner had filed this case and tried to misuse the process of this Court. Accordingly, this Court finds no merit for interference in the impugned order and the prayer for cancellation of bail of opposite party nos.2 to 4 is hereby rejected and the present Cr. Misc. Application stands dismissed.

6. The learned Trial Court is directed to take steps for early disposal of the trial in connection with Civil Lines P.S. Case No.773 of 2023.

**(Sunil Dutta Mishra, J)**

Ritik/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

