

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18674 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-105 Year-2024 Thana- ARERAJ District- East Champaran

Ramayan Devi W/O late Rajroshan Sharma @ Raushan Sharma R/O Vill.-
Barwa Sharma Tola, Ward No 12, P.S - Areraj, District - East champaran,
Motihari.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Anurag Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KHATIM REZA
ORAL ORDER

3 02-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends arrest in connection with
Areraj P.S. Case No. 105 of 2024 dated 19.08.2024, instituted
for the offence punishable under Sections 80, 238, 61(2), 3(5) of
the B.N.S., 2023.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that, the marriage
of the daughter of informant was solemnized with the son of the
petitioner five years ago. It is further alleged that the petitioner
along with other accused persons demanded a motorcycle and
two lakh rupees as dowry from the informant's daughter. It is
further alleged that on 18.08.2024, the informant's daughter
called the informant and told her that the petitioner along with



other persons are planning to kill her. When the informant reached the house of her daughter, she found that her daughter was killed by strangulation and her dead body was thrown in the sugarcane field.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and she has been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that petitioner is the mother-in-law of the deceased. There is no specific allegation against the petitioner rather the allegation levelled against her is general and omnibus in nature. It is further submitted that husband of the deceased is in judicial custody. Petitioner lives separately from her son. Lastly, it has been submitted that petitioner has no criminal antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submitted that there is allegation against in-laws of the deceased that they strangled the daughter of the informant which is corroborated by the post-mortem report.

6. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and submissions of learned counsel for the parties, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the prayer of the petitioner for grant



of anticipatory bail is hereby rejected.

8. If the petitioners surrender before the Court below within a period of four weeks and prays for regular bail, the same shall be considered and disposed of, preferably, on the same day, on its own merit without being prejudiced by this rejection order.

(Khatim Reza, J)

Sankalp/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

