

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18981 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1 Year-2025 Thana- NOWKOTHI GARHPURA District-
Begusarai

=====

Prince Kumar Son of Ramanuj Singh Resident of village - Maheswara, PS -
Naw kothi, Dist- Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Braj Bhusan Poddar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 23-04-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.
Chandra Bhushan Prasad, learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 127(2),
308(5), 117(2), 109, 303(2) and 3(5) of the BNS.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of two cases and the informant alleges
that while he stopped his motorcycle to attend the call of nature
when his named villagers including the petitioner intercepted
him and demanded extortion. On objection, Sandeep Kumar
assaulted the informant by butt of pistol on his head causing
injury. Thereafter, Sravan Kumar @ Chotu threatened him with
pistol on which the informant gave Rs.1900/- to Ankit Kumar
and all the accused assaulted him by lathi and danda.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that the allegation against this petitioner is general and omnibus in nature. It is further submitted that specific allegation of assaulting the informant by butt of pistol is against Sandeep Kumar. It is next submitted that brother of petitioner was murdered for which Nowkothi P.S. Case No. 98 of 2018 was instituted. It is also submitted that the accused of Nawkothi P.S. Case No. 98 of 2018 got the petitioner implicated in the instant case in order to coerce him into submission with ornamental allegation. It is submitted that it does not appear probable that petitioner being known to the informant would have indulged in the occurrence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, let the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees One Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the



case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Nowkothi
P.S. Case No. 01 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down
under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

