

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18429 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-105 Year-2023 Thana- ROHTAS COMPLAINT CASE District-
Rohtas

=====

Nandu Pasi S/o- Virendra Pasi R/v- Jamsona P.s- Dawath Dist- Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Dharmsheela Devi D/o- Surendra Ram Village- Bharkol Ps- Nasrganj Dist-
Rohtas

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Rakesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Md. Fahimuddin, APP
For the O.P. No. 2	:	Mr. Surendra Kumar Choubey, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

6 04-08-2025 Heard Mr. Rakesh Kumar Mishra, learned counsel

for the petitioner, Mr. Surendra Kumar Choubey, learned
counsel appearing on behalf of the Opposite Party No. 2 as well
as Md. Fahimuddin, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for
the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in
connection with Complaint Case No. 105 of 2023 for the
offences punishable under Sections 498(A), 323, 504 and 379 of
the Indian Penal Code and Section 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition
Act.

3. According to prosecution case, all the accused
persons including the petitioner used to assault the daughter of



the complainant due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. He further submits that the allegation as alleged in the complaint petition is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offences as alleged in the complaint petition.

5. Pursuant to the direction of this Court, both the parties sat together and tried to resolve the dispute between them.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that both the parties are ready to settle the dispute and the Opposite Party No. 2 is ready to receive Rs. 1,30,000/- (Rs. One lakh and thirty thousand) from the petitioner as a one time settlement.

7. Learned counsel for the Opposite Party No. 2 submits that the compromise took place between the parties in presence of learned counsel for the Opposite Party No. 2. Learned counsel for the Opposite Party No. 2 has also given under taking that the Opposite Party No. 2 will withdraw all the cases which are pending againsts the petitioner.

8. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or



surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Bikramganj in connection with Complaint Case No. 105 of 2023, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure / Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and with other following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall furnish a demand draft of Rs. 1,30,000/- (Rs. One lakh and thirty thousand) in favour of the Opposite Party No. 2, namely, Dharmsheela Devi and the same shall be deposited at the time of furnishing bail bond and the learned Court is directed to hand over the said demand draft to the Opposite Party No. 2 or her representative.

ii. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

iii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to



move for cancellation of bail.

iv. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

