

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 5805 of 2025

-
1. Sudama Ram Son of Late Khublal Paswan@ Khublal Ram, Resident of Village - Dudhar, P.O. - Sisirit, P.S. - Nokha, District- Rohtas at Sasaram.
 2. Sudarshan Paswan, Son of Late Khub Lal Paswan, Resident of Village - Dudhar, P.O. - Sisirit, P.S. - Nokha, District- Rohtas at Sasaram.
 3. Shiv Prasan Ram, Son of Late Khub Lal Paswan, Resident of Village - Dudhar, P.O. - Sisirit, P.S. - Nokha, District- Rohtas at Sasaram.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary-cum- Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Rohtas at Sasaram.
3. The Circle Officer, Nokha, Rohtas.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Babu Nandan Prasad
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Kamlesh Kishore, AC to SC-12

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 21-04-2025 Heard Mr. Babu Nandan Prasad, learned counsel for
the petitioners and the State.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief/s:

*(i) for issuance of an appropriate writ/
writs, order/orders, direction/directions to
the Circle Officer, Nokha (Respondent no.
3) to register their name in the Register-II
in favour of the petitioners in respect of
Mauza-Dudhar, Thana no. 433 Govt. Land*



Anabad Sarvsadharan Khata no. 130, Khesra No. 749 and an Area of 01.60 decimals, who are in their possession since 90 years and they have constructed a Kacha House and Pacca House upon the said land being a landless person of S.C. category and they live of their family members peacefully and Respondent no. 3 has not taken any interest in the matter of grievance of the petitioners due to which any scheme for the caste of SC and ST under State of Bihar not useful.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that they are landless people residing at a particular place and are being threatened of eviction.

4. Learned counsel for the State on the other hand submits that if they are landless people, the State has a role to play in the matter but the petitioners cannot insist on a particular land.

5. This Court is in full agreement with the view of the learned State Counsel, if the petitioners have real grievance that they are landless people, they should approach the Collector,



Rohtas at Sasaram (respondent no.2) for the redressal of the grievance instead of insisting on a particular piece of land.

6. In that background, learned counsel for the petitioners submit that they shall be approaching the respondent no.2, Collector, Rohtas at Sasaram in next four weeks.

7. If such representation is filed, the same should be taken to its logical conclusion at an earliest by the respondent no.2.

8. However, it is made clear once again that the petitioners cannot insist on the particular land in question.

9. The writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

