

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17475 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-64 Year-2024 Thana- JAHANABAD District- Jehanabad

Gunjan Kumar S/o- Binod Sharma Village- Lodipur Ps- Hulasganj Dist-
Jehanabad Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Thakur, Advocate
Mr. Ritwik Thakur, Advocate
Mrs. Vaishnavi Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shyam Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 08-07-2025 Heard Mr. Ajay Kumar Thakur, learned counsel
for the petitioner and Mr. Shyam Kumar Singh, learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Jehanabad (Town) P.S. Case No. 64 of 2024, F.I.R. dated 22.01.2024 for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 325, 307, 379, 504/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. According to prosecution case, all the accused persons including this petitioner armed with lathi and iron rod have assaulted the informant due to which he has received several injuries. It is further alleged that this petitioner snatched gold chain from the informant's neck and thereafter, fled away.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. He further submits that the allegation as alleged in the F.I.R. is false and fabricated and the



petitioner has not committed any offences as alleged in the F.I.R. As per the allegation in the F.I.R, one Rajiv Kumar has assaulted to the informant due to which he received four injuries and out of four injuries, three are simple in nature and one injury is greivous in nature and the said injury is on the nose. Although the same is on the vital part of the body but there is no specific allegation of assault against the petitioner rather there is general and omnibus allegation that he along with other accused persons have assaulted the informant.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances that the petitioner has clean antecedent and out of four injuries which were inflicted upon the informant by the petitioner and other accused persons, only one injury is grievous in nature and rest three are simple in nature, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jehanabad in connection with Jehanabad (Town) P.S. Case No. 64 of 2024,



subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure / Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and with other following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

