

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17378 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1595 Year-2023 Thana- GAYA COMPLAINT CASE District-
Gaya

=====

SUJEET RAJ @ SUJEET RAZZ S/o- Ram Ratan Yadav Village- Ismile
Balwapar Ps- Tekari Dist- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Beauti Kumari W/o- Sujeet Raj @ Sujeet Razz, D/o- Vinod Kumar Yadav
Village- Ismile Balwapar Ps- Tekari Dist- Gaya, P/A- Malya Tola Murgi
Bigha Ps- Tekari Dist- Gaya

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shailesh Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Nityanand

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 16-04-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, apprehending his arrest,
in connection with Complaint Case No. 1595 of 2023, filed for
the offences punishable under Section 498A/34 of the Indian
Penal Code.

3. As per the complaint, the complainant is legally
wedded wife of petitioner/Sujeet Raj, who is in Navy and as per
allegation, on account of non-fulfillment of additional demand
of dowry, she was subjected to torture by the husband and his
family members.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the



Petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that as a matter of fact, during the absence of the petitioner from home, because he is in Navy, the complainant has developed illicit relationship with one Ranjan Kumar and on protest, she used to quarrel with him and even she has refused to have physical relationship with the petitioner-husband and hence, the petitioner has filed divorce petition bearing M.T.S (Divorce) Petition No. 200 of 2023 in the Court of Principal Judge, Family Court, Gaya and subsequently, the present false case has been filed by the complainant-wife.

5. It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the bail petition that the petitioner has not moved this Court earlier either for anticipatory bail or regular one in the instant case.

6. It has further been stated in paragraph no.3 of the bail petition that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents.

7. However, learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the Petitioner for bail.

8. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, **this petition is allowed**, directing the petitioner, above-named, to be enlarged on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt / production of a copy of this order, on his



furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000 /- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of concerned Court below, in connection with Complaint Case No. 1595 of 2023, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr.PC and on the following conditions:

(i) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that the petitioner has any criminal antecedents, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner after hearing him and getting satisfied that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents despite his knowledge of the same.

(ii) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that statement regarding previous bail petition is wrong, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

shoaib/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

