

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5214 of 2025

=====

Suman Kumar Chaubey s/o Nagendra Chaubey, R/o-Chaub Tola, P.O.-Baroli,
Ward No. 10, Alapur, B.a. Sonbarsa, Barauli, District-Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, the Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Bihar.
2. The Secretary, the Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Gopalganj.
4. The District Panchayati Raj Officer, Gopalganj.
5. The Block Development Officer, Sidhwaliya Gopalganj cum Chairman, Block Level Monitoring Committee, Sidhwaliya, Gopalganj.
6. The Block Panchayat Raj Officer, Sidhwaliya, Gopalganj.
7. The Block Development Officer, Barauli Gopalganj cum Chairman, Block Level Monitoring Committee, Barauli, Gopalganj.
8. The Block Panchayat Raj Officer, Barauli, Gopalganj.
9. The Assistant Engineer, Public Health Engineering Department, Gopalganj.
10. The Junior Engineer, Public Health Engineering Department, Gopalganj.
11. The Panchayat Secretary, Barauli, Gopalganj.
12. The Panchayat Secretary, Sidhwaliya, Gopalganj.
13. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Sadauva Barauli, Gopalganj.
14. The Mukhiya Gram Panchayat Lohijara, Sidhwaliya, Gopalganj.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Ranjan Kumar Srivastava Mr. Shashwat Srivastava
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Standing Counsel (4)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A. ABHISHEK REDDY
ORAL ORDER

2 14-05-2025 Without going into the merits or demerits of the case,

the present writ petition is disposed of at the stage of admission

with the consent of both the counsels as it has been stated by

the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petition has

ventilated his grievance by way of a representation dated



21.03.2024 given to the District Panchayati Raj Officer, Gopalganj (respondent No. 4). The respondent No. 4 is directed to consider the representation of the petitioner duly taking into consideration the letter dated 28.03.2024 (Annexure-7) as expeditiously as possibly preferably within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of the order.

2. In case, the authority comes to a conclusion that the claim of the petitioner is found to be genuine, they shall endeavour to pay the amount to the petitioner. In case the authority is of the opinion that the claim of the petitioner needs to be rejected, they shall pass a reasoned order giving the reasons for such rejection.

3. Any order passed shall be communicated to the parties.

4. With the above direction, the writ application is disposed of.

(A. Abhishek Reddy , J)

Saif/-

U			
---	--	--	--

