

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17328 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-2 Year-2025 Thana- SINGHWARA District- Darbhanga

Ram Bhajan @ Vikram Kumar Choudhary @ Vikram Choudhary Son of
Sanjeev Kumar Chaudhari Resident of Mirjapur Jagni, Ward No.- 13
Rampura, P.S.- Singhwara, Distt.- Darbhanga.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Saurav Anand, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Harendra Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 16-04-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his arrest
in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections
30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner has antecedent of one case and the allegation is of
recovery of 12 liters of liquor from straw house of the Rahul Sah.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner was not arrested from the spot, as such, nothing was
recovered from his conscious possession and even alleged
recovery is from a place which does not belong to the petitioner
and petitioner has no concern with Rahul Sah and he came to be
implicated at the instance of Chaukidar.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail
application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned



counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on **provisional anticipatory bail** on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Exclusive Special Judge Court, Excise-II,(Excise Act), Darbhanga, in connection with Singhwara P. S. Case No. 02 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

8. It is made clear that thereafter the learned trial Court shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event, if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of more than only one case, in that event, the present provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but if on verification, it is found that petitioner has antecedent of one case, in that event, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

krishnakant/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

