

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18182 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-45 Year-2024 Thana- SALAIYA District- Aurangabad

Kameswar Yadav Son of Late Sahadev Yadav Resident of Village - Pirwa,
P.S. - Saliaya, District - Aurangabad, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rupa Kumari
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Pawan Kumar Chaurasia

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

02 04-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case in
connection with Salaiya P.S. Case No. 45 of 2024 dated
28.06.2024 registered for the offences punishable u/s 30(a) of
the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, total 40 litres of illicit
country-made liquor kept in a plastic gallon was recovered near
the Chalo hill.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted
that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in
this case. No incriminating material has been recovered from the
conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner has no



concern with the alleged recovery rather the recovery has been made from an open place that was accessible to anyone. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 3 of the petition. The co-accused has been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 18.09.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 66257 of 2024. Learned counsel has submitted that no case is made out against the petitioner. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the material available on record, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender



within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Aurangabad in connection with Salaiya P.S. Case No. 45 of 2024, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

guddukr/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

