

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19533 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1123 Year-2022 Thana- SAMASTIPUR COMPLAINT CASE
District- Samastipur

Govind Paswan Son of Late Akhilesh Paswan Resident of Village - Bochahan
Dubaha, P.S. - Mohiuddin Nagar, District - Samastipur, Bihar - 848101

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Kshusbu @ Kshbu Wife of Govind Paswan, Daughter of Gulab Paswan
Currently residing at Village - Mohanpur, Ward No. 10, P.S. - Muffassil,
Samastipur, Bihar - 848101

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Piyush Kumar Pandey, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Murli Dhar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 16-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a complaint case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 341, 323, 324 and 498A of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, this petitioner is alleged to have committed torture and harassment upon the complainant/Opposite Party No. 2 due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, while denying the allegations made in the complaint



petition, submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case merely because he is husband of the complainant/Opposite Party No. 2. As a matter of fact, at no point of time, petitioner demanded any dowry or committed any torture. The petitioner is ready to keep the complainant/Opposite Party No. 2 at her matrimonial house with honour and dignity. It is further submitted that the case is triable by the Magistrate. In this connection, learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon the judgment of this Hon'ble Court in the case of *Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar*, reported in *2006(3) PLJR 182*. Petitioner has got no criminal antecedents and he is in custody since 21.01.2025. Moreover, charge-sheet has already been submitted.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the nature of accusation, period of custody and clean antecedents of the petitioner, the prayer for grant bail of to the petitioner is allowed.

7. Accordingly, let the above named petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned S.D.J.M., Samastipur in connection with



Complaint Case No. 1123 of 2022.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

