

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20059 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-31 Year-2024 Thana- MORO District- Darbhanga

Chandan Kumar Yadav @ Chandan Yadav Son of Yaduvir Yadav R/o -
Mahamadpur, P.S - Bishanpur, District - Darbhanga, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vinay Kumar Mishra

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Kumar Veerendra Narayan

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 13-05-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 341, 323 and 392 of the
Indian Penal Code.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of four cases and is in custody since
23.07.2024 and the informant alleges that while he was
returning along with his wife on 29.05.2024 when at 07:50 PM
he reached at Ram Swaroop Crossing when three motorcycle
borne miscreants intercepted them and snatched the ornaments
of his wife amounting to Rs. 50,000-60,000/-.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner next submits
that the FIR was against unknown and the name of the petitioner



transpired in the confessional statement of Mahesh Yadav. It is further submitted that Mahesh Yadav had approached this Court seeking regular bail by filing Criminal Miscellaneous No. 76920 of 2024 and the same was allowed by an order dated 13.02.2025 passed by a learned Co-ordinate Bench, thus, it is submitted that the accused in whose confession the name of the petitioner transpired has been granted the privilege of regular bail, as such, the case of the petitioner is on a better footing.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that in the event if the petitioner is released on bail, the petitioner may abscond, on which the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner will not abscond rather will co-operate in the trial to prove his innocence.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Moro P.S. Case No. 31 of 2024.

7. One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his



father namely Yaduvir Yadav @ Yaduveer Yadav.

8. It is made clear that if the learned Trial Court comes to a conclusion that petitioner, after his release, is trying to delay the framing of charge or the trial in any manner in that event, the learned Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner after recording reasons.

9. Accordingly, the instant regular bail application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

