

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18470 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-14 Year-2025 Thana- SHEIKHOPUR SARAI District-
Sheikhpura

=====

Kunal Kumar Son of Arun Prasad Resident of Village - Panchi, P.S. -
Sheikhopur Sarai, District - Sheikhpura

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bipin Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Uma Shankar Prasad Singh

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 21-04-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Sheikhopur Sarai P.S. Case No. 14/2025 dated 24.01.2025 registered for the offence punishable u/s 316(2), 319(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 61(2) read with Section 3(5) of the B.N.S. and Section 66C and 66D of the I.T. Act

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant received an information that 10-12 persons were engaged in online cyber fraud activities using mobile phones from the premises of an old coaching center belonging to Ramavtar Munshi at Village, Panchi. At 6:20, the police team reached the coaching center and



a raid was conducted where the petitioner and the co-accused persons were apprehended. On search, multiple mobile phones of various brands along with active SIM cards of Airtel and Jio was recovered. Thereafter, the petitioner and the co-accused persons confessed that they used to lure innocent persons by sending fake advertisements of providing business loans through a fictitious finance company name Lenoing-Kart Finance Ltd.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged occurrence. Learned counsel has submitted that the mobile phones which were recovered were for his personal use. The petitioner has two antecedents as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 25.01.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner by submitting that one mobile phone and one small Nokia phone were recovered from the possession of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond



of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, Sheikhpura in connection with Sheikhopur Sarai P.S. Case No. 14/2025, with the condition ;-

(i). The petitioner is directed to remain physically present before the learned Court below on each and every date, failing which on two consecutive dates without reasonable cause, the bail bond of the petitioner is liable to be cancelled.

(ii). If the petitioner is found involved in similar nature of offence in the future, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of his bail bonds.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

atul/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

