

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18788 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-191 Year-2024 Thana- FATUA District- Patna

Shatrudhan Singh S/o- Ballabh Singh @ Raj Ballam Singh Village-
Janardanpur Po Ps- Fatuha Dist- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Ashish Giri, Sr.Adv. Mr. Sumit Kumar Jha, Adv. Mrs. Riya Giri, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, APP Ms. Mickey Singh, Adv.for Informant

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 18-04-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
A.P.P for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in Fatuha P.S.
Case No. 191 of 2024, registered for offence under Sections
341, 323, 307, 302 r/w section 34 of the Indian Penal Code and
section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The informant has alleged that on 26.03.2024 on
the eve of Holi, all the F.I.R. named accused persons including
this petitioner, after forming an unlawful assembly, assaulted
informant's father, leading to his death.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
present case is counter blast of Fatuha P.S. Case No. 189 of
2024, which was lodged by Geeta Devi, wife of Yogendra Singh



@ Sadhu Ji against the informant and others. He next submits that specific allegation of assaulting the deceased by iron rod causing injury on his head is against co-accused Ranjeet Kumar. So far as this petitioner is concerned, he is also alleged to have assaulted along with other accused persons when the injured fell. There is no specific allegation of assault against this petitioner.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for informant vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail and submitted that in the F.I.R., there is specific allegation that this petitioner alongwith other co-accused assaulted the injured when he fell down. The *post-mortem* report also reveals that the injured suffered four external injuries, which goes to show that co-accused Ranjit Kumar was not alone in assaulting the deceased. The prayer for anticipatory bail of similarly situated co-accused Sintu Kumar @ Sintu Singh has already been rejected by a coordinate Bench of this Court, *vide* order dated 17.12.2024 passed in Cr.Misc. No. 62937 of 2024. Petitioner has also got two criminal antecedent.

6. Considering the nature of accusation, criminal antecedent and the fact that prayer for anticipatory bail of similarly situated co-accused Sintu Kumar @ Sintu Singh has



already been rejected, the prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioner is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

anay

U		T	
---	--	---	--

