

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16808 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-112 Year-2024 Thana- MEHSI District- East Champaran

Bichli @ Akhtari Khatoon @ Bichli Khatoon W/o Murtuja Ali Resident of
Village- Main Mehsi, PS- Mehsi, District- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Karandeep Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Dilip Kumar No.1, APP
For the Informant :	Mr. Kundan Rathore, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 19-03-2025 Heard Mr. Karandeep Kumar learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Dilip Kumar No.1, learned A.P.P. for the State, assisted by Mr. Kundan Rathore, learned counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Mehsi P.S. Case No.112 of 2024, registered for the offence punishable u/s 80 and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner, in association with her family members, is said to have killed the daughter of informant on the pretext of non-fulfillment of demand for dowry.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. She has been falsely implicated in this case. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner.



Petitioner has never demanded dowry from the deceased. She is the mother-in-law of the deceased and there is no specific overt act against her. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent and has been rotting in judicial custody since 23.12.2024.

5. Learned APP for the State as well as learned counsel for the informant opposed the prayer for bail by submitting that the marriage of the informant's daughter was solemnized with the son of the petitioner on 15.12.2023 and she was killed in her matrimonial house on 18.07.2024 i.e. only within few months of marriage.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of this case as well as considering the nature of offence, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. The prayer for grant of bail on her behalf is hereby rejected.

7. Accordingly, this application is dismissed.

8. However, petitioner is at liberty to renew her prayer for bail after framing of charge.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

shikha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

