

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16695 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-45 Year-2025 Thana- CHENARI District- Rohtas

Ranjeet Kumar Son of Munna Pasi Resident of Village - Malhipur, P.S.-
Chenari, District - Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Kumar Singh
For the Opposite Party/s: Dr. Indihar Kumari

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 16-04-2025 Heard Mr. Rajesh Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the
petitioner and Dr. Indihar Kumari, learned A.P.P. for the
State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered
for the offences punishable under Section 30(a) of the Bihar
Prohibition and Excise Amendment Act, 2018.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the peti-
tioner is a person with clean antecedent and allegation is of
recovery of 7 liters of liquor from a motorcycle.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the peti-
tioner was not arrested from the spot as such nothing was re-
covered from his conscious possession and he came to be im-
plicated based on the fact that he is owner of the seized mo-



torcycle. It is next submitted that no prudent person would use his own vehicle for committing an occurrence and thus would create evidence against himself and hence would get implicated, it is further submitted that petitioner was completely unaware that Munna would misuse the vehicle in the manner as alleged who was also apprehended on the spot.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on **Provisional anticipatory bail** on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 500/- (Rupees Five Hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Chenari P.S. Case No.45 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

7. It is made clear that thereafter the learned Trial Court shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of even one case in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall



not be confirmed, but after verification if it is found that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

shikha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

