

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30478 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-120 Year-2023 Thana- PATAHI District- East Champaran

Subodh Kumar Singh Son of Ramchandra Singh Resident of Village -
Mankarwa, P.S. - Phenhara, District - East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Gopal Krishna, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Vigilance Investigation Bureau, Patna Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Y. C. Verma, Sr. Advocate Ms. Priyanka Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Ajay Mishra, APP
For the Vigilance	:	Mr. Anil Singh, Law Officer Mr. Paritosh Parimal, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 16-05-2025 Heard learned Sr. Counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending arrest in connection with Patahi P.S. Case No. 120 of 2023 registered on 19.04.2025 for the offenses punishable under Sections 467, 468, 471, 420 and 120B of the Indian Penal Code.

3. According to the prosecution, the petitioner obtained an appointment to the post of *Prakhanda Shikshak* on the basis of a forged document.

4. Learned Sr. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence. It



is further submitted that the petitioner has a clean antecedent. Learned counsel argues that the petitioner merely submitted the document that was provided to him, based on which he was selected as a teacher. It is also submitted that an Amnesty Scheme was introduced pursuant to the order passed in CWJC No. 15459 of 2014, but the petitioner did not opt for the same. Counsel points out that several individuals against whom similar allegations of forged marksheets have been made, and who also did not opt to resign, have been granted anticipatory bail by different co-ordinate Benches of this Hon'ble Court. It is further argued that the error originated from the Board itself, where the name of a female candidate was erroneously inserted in place of the petitioner's name. Therefore, the petitioner deserves to be granted anticipatory bail, particularly in light of his clean criminal antecedents and the fact that he has already resigned from service.

5. Learned counsel for the Vigilance Department vehemently opposes the prayer for bail and submits that the petitioner did not avail the benefits of the Amnesty Scheme and has willfully disobeyed the directions of this Hon'ble Court. It is further submitted that the certificate submitted by the petitioner was found to be forged upon verification by the Board.



Attention is drawn to the observation made by this Hon'ble Court in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 29098 of 2025, wherein it was held that: *"The petitioner deserves no leniency in view of the fact that such a crime has the potential to destroy the entire education system of the State and deprive eligible candidates of rightful appointments."*

6. Learned APP for the State also vehemently opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

7. This Court concurs with the view expressed by the co-ordinate Bench in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 29098 of 2025, that due to the wrongful actions and forgery committed by the petitioner, a competent individual has been deprived of employment. In this background, the Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for bail in connection with Patahi P.S. Case No. 120 of 2023, pending before the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, East Champaran at Motihari, is hereby rejected.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Ashwini/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

