

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17916 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-229 Year-2023 Thana- DUMARIAGHAT District- East
Champaran

=====

Chandan Sah S/O Naga Sah @ Nageshwar Sah @ Nagesar Sah R/O Vill.-
Rampur, Khajuriyatola Rampurwa, P.S.- Dumariyaghat, Dist.- East
Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sagar Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shyam Kumar Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

5 21-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

2. This is the 2nd attempt of the petitioner for grant of
bail in connection with Dumariyaghat P.S. Case No. 229 of
2023 registered for the offence under Section 395 of the Indian
Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Earlier the bail application of the petitioner was
rejected on 16.04.2024 in Cr. Misc. No. 7800 of 2024, the order
dated 16.04.2024 reads as under:

*Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.*

*2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in a case
registered for the offence under Section 395 of the Indian
Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.*



3. *As per the prosecution case, a dacoity was committed in the C.S.P. Point and Rs. 2,48,000/- was looted.*

4. *Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is no recovery from the petitioner and no T.I. Parade has been held.*

5. *Learned A.P.P. has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail.*

6. *I have considered the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner.*

7. *Materials have come during investigation to connect the petitioner with the crime. Petitioner is accused in five more cases and he has been identified in the CCTV Footage.*

8. *Since the petitioner has been identified as one of the dacoit who committed crime and his face was captured in the CCTV Footage, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.*

9. *Accordingly, this application for regular bail is dismissed. The court below is directed to expedite the trial of the petitioner.*

4. In the trial there are seven charge-sheeted witnesses in this case and one witness has been examined.

5. Considering the fact of the case that the trial has already started, I am not inclined to grant regular bail to the



petitioner. Accordingly, this application for regular bail is hereby rejected. The S.S.P, East Champaran is directed to ensure the examination of the witnesses.

6. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the S.S.P, East Champaran through FAX for its compliance.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Siddharth Soni/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

