

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20171 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1932 Year-2023 Thana- GAYA COMPLAINT CASE District-
Gaya

-
1. Anita Devi D/O Nunu Chauhan @ Nunu Lal Chauhan, W/O Jitendra Chauhan Resident of Village- Teausa Beldari, P.S-Atari, District- Gaya.
 2. Nunu Chauhan @ Nunulal Chauhan S/O Late Mohan Chauhan Resident of Village- Chokhar, Chorvar, P.S- Kadirganj, District- Nawada.
 3. Haniya Devi W/O Nunu Chauhan @ Nunulal Chauhan Resident of Village- Chokhar, Chorvar, P.S- Kadirganj, District- Nawada.
 4. Gori Kumari D/O Nunu Chauhan @ Nunulal Chauhan Resident of Village- Chokhar, Chorvar, P.S- Kadirganj, District- Nawada.
 5. Chhotu @ Chhotu Kumar @ Teni Kumar S/O Nunu Chauhan @ Nunulal Chauhan Resident of Village- Chokhar, Chorvar, P.S- Kadirganj, District- Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Tetri Devi W/O Vikaram Chauhan, D/O Ramashray Chauhan Resident of Village- Chorvar, P.S- Kadirganj, District- Nawada. At Present resident of Village- Tausa Beldari, P.S- Atri, Dist.- Gaya.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioners : Mr. Man Mohan Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Rajiv Nayan, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 21-04-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioners and learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a complaint case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 341, 323, 504, 307, 498A and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that marriage of



the complainant was solemnized with co-accused Vikram Chauhan as per Hindu rites and rituals. It is alleged that after marriage, all the accused persons named in the F.I.R., including these petitioners, subjected the complainant to cruelty and harassment due to non-fulfillment of additional demand of dowry and later, ousted her from her matrimonial house.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence. Petitioner No. 1 is mother-in-law, Petitioner Nos. 1 and 4 are sisters-in-law (*Nanad*) and Petitioner No. 2 is father-in-law, Petitioner No. 3 is mother-in-law and Petitioner No. 5 is brother-in-law (*Devar*) of the complainant. Petitioners are victim of overt implication. Petitioners are separate in mess and property and have got no concern with the affairs of the complainant and her husband. Thrust of accusation is against husband of complainant. Petitioners claim clean antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Considering the general and omnibus nature of accusation and clean antecedents of the petitioners, the prayer



for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners is allowed.

7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named petitioners be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate, First Class-cum-Civil Judge, Gaya in connection with Gaya Complaint Case No. 1932 of 2023, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

