

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16152 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-44 Year-2024 Thana- Mau District- Gaya

Amrendra Yadav @ Amrendra Kumar Yadav son of Jainandan Yadav @ Jai
Nandan Yadav village- Kurkut Bigha, ps- Mau, Dist- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Deepankar Raj, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Awadhesh Kumar Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER**

2 05-03-2025 Heard Mr. Deepankar Raj, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Awadhesh Kumar Singh, learned A.P.P. for

the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
18.12.2024, in connection with Mau P.S. Case No. 44/2024, FIR
dated 21.07.2024 registered for the offence under Sections
191(2), 191(3), 190, 151(2), 121(1), 132, 109, 324(4)(5), 352,
351(2)(3) of the B.N.S.

3. According to prosecution case, there is allegation
against the petitioners that they have misbehaved with the police
personnel and also assaulted them. It is further alleged that they
have also created hindrance in discharging their official duty.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner
submits that the petitioner, who is of clean antecedent, is



innocent and he has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that as per the allegation in the FIR, petitioner along with other co-accused persons misbehaved and assaulted the police officials with lathi and danda and they also created hindrance in their official duty. He further submits that although the petitioner is named in the FIR but the allegation as alleged in the FIR is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. He further submits that other co-accused persons, namely, Menka Devi and others have already been granted the privilege of anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 29.01.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 73387 of 2024. The petitioner is rotting in judicial custody since 18.12.2024.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State, on the other hand, has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts that the petitioner has clean antecedent, there is no specific allegation against the petitioner and similarly situated other co-accused persons have already been granted the privilege of anticipatory bail by this Court, let the, above named, petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned



Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Gaya in connection with Mau P.S.
Case No. 44 of 2024 with the following conditions:-

(i) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be canceled by the Court below.

(ii) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

ajay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

