

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17600 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-104 Year-2024 Thana- NALANDA District- Nalanda

Shivam Kumar @ Shivam Kumar Ravidas Son of Manoj Ravidas Resident of
Village- Teju Bigha, P.s.- Nalanda, Distt.- Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raj Kishor Prasad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Gulnar Begum, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 28-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
APP for the State and perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Nalanda P.S. Case No. 104 of 2024, instituted for the offences
punishable under Section 366 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that, the petitioner
along with other co-accused person have kidnapped married
daughter of the informant for the purpose of marriage.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the
present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case.
Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that there is no
eye witness of the said occurrence. There is delay of nine days
in lodging the FIR. The victim girl has not been medically
examined. It is further submitted that there was love affair



between the victim and the petitioner and the victim on her own will fled away with the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 08.01.2025 and has got no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner and submits that there is specific allegation against the petitioner of luring the married victim girl for the purpose of marriage. It is further submitted that the victim has supported the prosecution case in her statement recorded under Sections 180 and 183 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023. Hence, the petitioner does not deserve the privilege of bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, nature of accusation and the gravity of the offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. The prayer is rejected. The trial Court is directed to expedite the Trial. However, if the trial is not concluded within a period of six months from the date of receipt/production, the petitioner will have liberty to renew his prayer for bail in the Court below.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

