

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.1014 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-479 Year-2024 Thana- BIKRAMGANJ District- Rohtas

1. Manauwar Ansari @ Manuwar Ansari @ Manwar Ansari S/o Md. Pir Mohammad Ansari @ Pir Mohammad R/O Village- Keshodih, P.S- Bikramganj, Distt.- Rohtas.
2. Sakina Khatoon D/O Manauwar Ansari @ Manuwar Ansari @ Manwar Ansari R/O Village- Keshodih, P.S- Bikramganj, Distt.- Rohtas.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sanjay Ram S/O Late Sri Bhagwan Ram R/O Village- Keshodih, P.S- Bikramganj, Distt.- Rohtas.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s	:	Mr. Raghwendra Pratap Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mrs. Usha Kumari 1, Spl. P.P.
For Respondent No. 2	:	Mr. Nagendra Upadhyay, Advocate
		Mr. Maya Shankar Mishra, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL DUTTA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

3 12-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the appellants, learned Spl. Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the State and learned counsel for the Respondent No. 2.

2. This appeal has been filed against the order dated 25.11.2024 passed by learned Additional District and Sessions Judge-XVII-cum-Special Court, SC/ST Act, Sasaram, Rohtas in connection with Bikramganj P.S. Case No. 479 of 2024, registered under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 329(3), 351(2), 352, 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and Sections 3(1) and 3(1)(r) (s) of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of



Atrocities) Act, whereby the prayer for anticipatory bail of appellants has been rejected.

3. It is alleged that on the alleged date and time of occurrence, all the accused persons including these appellants assaulted and abused the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the appellants submits that appellants are innocent and have falsely been implicated in the present case due to previous dispute. Further submission is that no injury was found on the person of the informant, as alleged in the F.I.R. There is case and counter case between the parties. There is no allegation against the appellants of abusing the informant by his caste name, hence no offence under SC/ST Act is made out. Appellants have no criminal antecedent. They undertake to co-operate in the investigation and trial. There is no chance of absconding of the appellants or tampering with the evidence.

5. Learned Spl. Public Prosecutor for the State and learned counsel for the respondent no. 2 oppose the bail application.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions advanced on behalf of the parties, let the appellants, as named above, in the event of their



arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional District and Sessions Judge-XVII-cum-Special Court, SC/ST Act, Sasaram, Rohtas in connection with Bikramganj P.S. Case No. 479 of 2024.

7. Accordingly, the impugned order dated 25.11.2024 is set aside and this criminal appeal is allowed.

(Sunil Dutta Mishra, J)

Harish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

