

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17462 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-110 Year-2022 Thana- SAHPUR District- Patna

=====

Sunny Kumar Son of Sri Mukesh Prasad @ Mukesh Kumar Resident of
Village- Mubarakpur, P.S.- Shahpur, Distt.- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Ranjit Kumar son of Shyamrup Ray Resident of Village - Shahpur
Raghopur, P.S. - Shahpur, District - Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sagar Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Sharma

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR
PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

4 03-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in Shahpur
P.S. Case No. 110 of 2022 registered under Section 420 of the
Indian Penal Code and Section-66(D) of the IT Act.

3. The informant Ranjeet Kumar makes allegation that at
the pretext of adding of his name in Ration Card, an unknown
caller contacted him on mobile phone and he took his account
No. and OTP. Thereafter, he withdrew money from the account
of the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the
petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in this



case. The petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. nor anything has been recovered from his possession to show his complicity in the cybre crime and even during investigation, the petitioner was not found concerned with the holder of the mobile number which is said to have been possessed by the petitioner.

5. The learned APP by drawing my attention towards paragraph-45 of the case diary, has submitted that the petitioner is holder of the mobile wherefrom call was received on the mobile set of the informant.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the petitioner does not deserve anticipatory bail and accordingly, the same is rejected.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

A.K.V.//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

