

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17877 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-10 Year-2025 Thana- AMARPUR District- Banka

Pankaj Kumar Sah @ Pankaj Kumar Saw Son of Late Bahadur Sah Resident
Of village- Madachak, Ps- Amarpur, Dist- Banka

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Praveen Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Md. Shakir Ahmad

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 29-08-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 310(4), 310(5), 132, 109(1)
and 111 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and Sections 25(1-B)a,
26, 27 and 35 of Arms Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of one case and is in custody since 5-
1-2025. It is next submitted that informant alleges that based on
information, the house of Jayant Yadav was raided from where
Shiv Shakti Yadav and petitioner were arrested and from their
possession, armed and live cartridges along with a fired
cartridge were discovered, as detailed in the FIR and the
apprehended accused in their confessional statement disclosed



the name of Gaurav Chaudhary, who fled from the place of occurrence, when police arrived.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant because of his antecedent. It is next submitted that nothing was recovered from his possession and he was not present in the house of Jayant Yadav rather at the time of raid was going back to his home. It is further submitted that petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the trial to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner, let the petitioner above named be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty-five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Amarpur P.S. Case No. 10 of 2025.

7. One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his brother, Rakesh Sah.

8. It is made clear that in the event if learned trial



court comes to a conclusion that petitioner after his release is trying to delay the framing of charge or after framing of charge is delaying the trial, in both the conditions learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

