

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.898 of 2025

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14669 of 2024

=====

Bhushan Kumar, Son of Shri Vinay Prasad @ Vinay Prasad @ Vinay Kumar Prasad, Resident of Village-Deep Paschimi, P.S. Jhanjharpur, District-Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Sri Jai Singh the Additional Chief Secretary Bihar Land Reforms and Revenue Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Sri Arvind Kr Verma, the Collector, Madhubani.
3. Sri Shailesh Kumar, the Additional Collector Land Reforms, Madhubani.
4. Sri Chandan Kr. Jha, the Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Jhanjharpur, Madhubani.
5. Sri Kumar Gaurav, the Sub-Divisional Officer, Jhanjharpur, Madhubani.
6. Sri Ritu Soni, the Circle Officer, Lakhnaur, Madhubani.
7. Sri Prabhakar Kumar, the Circle Amin Lakhnaur, Madhubani.
8. Sri Shital Jha, the Revenue Clerk, Lakhnaur, Madhubani
9. Sanjay Kumar, Panchayat Secretary, Lakhnaur, Madhubani.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ravi Prakash, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Standing Counsel 13

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 29-08-2025

Heard the parties.

2. In compliance with the order of this Court, a show-cause affidavit has been filed on behalf of opposite party no.6.

3. It is categorically averred that in compliance with the order of this Court the Circle Officer, Lakhnaur considered the explanation/petition filed by the petitioner in the



light of report of Halka Karamchari, as also perused the revenue record and finally passed the order dated 22.07.2025 rejecting the contention raised by the petitioner. It is further informed that the land situated at Mouza Behat, Khata no. 506, Khesara no. 1826, area 2-16 -12-0 formally belonged to Ex-land Lord Raj Darbhanga and after abolition of Jamindari and enactment of Bihar Land Record for Act, the aforesaid land vested in the State of Bihar. In the aforesaid premise, it is said that no private person can transfer the land, in question, in any manner, therefore, all transactions made between the parties with respect to said land is not valid.

4. Having considered the submissions and the averments made in the show-cause affidavit, the present contempt petition stands closed. However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to assail the order, if not satisfied.

(Harish Kumar, J)

uday/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	30.08.2025
Transmission Date	NA

