

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16300 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-171 Year-2024 Thana- GANGABRIDGE District- Vaishali

Suryakant Singh @ Suryakant Kumar @ Padm Son of Surendra Prasad Singh
Resident of Village - Nanhkchak Dilawalpur Gobardhan, P.S. - Bidupur,
District - Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bela Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 23-07-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Section 309(4) of
the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of one case and the instant FIR was
instituted against unknown accused on 28-8-2024 with an
allegation that three unknown persons committed armed robbery
of the bag which contained Rs. 1,22,484/- along with tab etc.
and also threatened to kill him.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case during



the course of investigation. It is next submitted that the FIR was instituted against unknown and name of the petitioner transpired in the confessional statement of apprehended accused. It is also submitted that petitioner is a student of BA, Part-III, of Bihar University, Muzaffarpur. It is next submitted that earlier case in which the name of the petitioner transpired during the course of investigation was also confessed by the same accused, who was apprehended in the instant case.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that though the FIR was against unknown but then the name of the petitioner transpired in the confessional statement of apprehended accused and the investigation of the case is in its nascent stages. It is next submitted that if the privilege of anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioner, the petitioner may abscond, on which the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on



anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty-five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Gangabridge P.S. Case No. 171 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

7. One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his father, Surendra Prasad Singh.

8. It is made clear that in the event, if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned trial court bringing to its notice that the petitioner, despite giving assurance to this Court, is not cooperating in the investigation, in that event, the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

9. It is further made clear that if charge-sheet is submitted connecting the petitioner with the offence, in that event the present anticipatory bail order shall lose its effect.

10. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned police station through the learned Trial Court.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

