

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CIVIL REVIEW No.35 of 2022

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20477 of 2021

=====

Anuradha Mehta Mundkur @ Anuradha Mundkur Daughter of Late Ravindra Nath Mehta, Wife of Valmik Mundkur Presently residing at B3/101, World Spa West Sector - 30/41, Gurugram, Haryana, Pin - 122001.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Sitaram Sahni Son of Muni Lal Sahni Resident of Village and Post Office - Malinagar, Police Station - Chakmehsi, District- Samastipur, presently residing at C/o - Guru Bachan Singh, Village and P.O. and P.S. - Runnisaidpur, District- Sitamarhi.
2. Hari Mandal Son of Ramesh Mandal Resident of Village and P.O. and P.S.- Runisaidpur, District- Sitamarhi.
3. Devendra Roy Son of Akhilesh Roy Resident of Village and P.O. and P.S.- Runisaidpur, District- Sitamarhi.
4. Ram Kishore Roy Son of Ganeshwar Roy Resident of Village and P.O. and P.S.- Runisaidpur, District- Sitamarhi.
5. The State of Bihar Through Principal Secretary, Home Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
6. The Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
7. The District Magistrate, District- Sitamarhi.
8. The Block Development Officer, Block Office -Runnisaidpur District- Sitamarhi.
9. Minakshi Badhera Wife of Late Harbardhan Badhera Residing at present house no. 733, sector - B, Faridabad, Haryana.
10. Abhijit Kumar P.S.- Ministry of Social Welfare Govt. of Bihar Patna. Resident at Village and P.O. and P.S. - Runisaidpur, District- Sitamarhi.
11. Usha Kapoor Wife of Late Madan Mohan Kapoor Resident at Village and P.O. and P.S. - Runnisaidpur, District Sitamarhi, presently House No. 289, Sector - 25, Noida, Uttar Pradesh.
12. Sujit Kumar C/o Prassanjit Mehta Resident at Village and P.O. and P.S. - Runnisaidpur, District Sitamarhi, presently House No. 289, Sector - 25, Noida, Uttar Pradesh.
13. Prassanjit Mehta Son of Late Pasupatti Nath Mehta Resident at Village and P.O. and P.S. - Runnisaidpur, District Sitamarhi, presently House No. 289, Sector - 25, Noida, Uttar Pradesh.
14. Tapan Kumar Varman C/o Abhijit Kumar Resident at Village and P.O. and P.S. - Runnisaidpur, District Sitamarhi, presently House No. 289, Sector - 25, Noida, Uttar Pradesh.
15. Tapas Kumar Varman C/o Abhijit Kumar Resident at Village and P.O. and P.S. - Runnisaidpur, District Sitamarhi, presently House No. 289, Sector - 25, Noida, Uttar Pradesh.



16. Tushar Kant Varman C/o Abhijit Kumar Resident at Village and P.O. and P.S. - Runnisaidpur, District Sitamarhi, presently House No. 289, Sector - 25, Noida, Uttar Pradesh.
17. Satya Prakash Wadhera C/o Abhijit Kumar Resident at Village and P.O. and P.S. - Runnisaidpur, District Sitamarhi, presently House No. 289, Sector - 25, Noida, Uttar Pradesh.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Jitendra Prasad Singh, Sr. Adv. Mr. Varun Krishna Singh, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Gyan Prakash Ojha (GA 7)
For Respondent No. 11	:	Mr. Subodh Kumar, Adv. Mr. S.K. Thakur, Adv.
For Respondent No. 1	:	Mr. Aditya Kumar Pandey, Adv. Mr. Ankit Katriar, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. B. PD. SINGH
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI)

Date : 22-07-2025

During pendency of the present Civil Review 35 of 2022, Respondent No. 17 - Satya Prakash Wadhera has died. Therefore, appellant intends to bring legal heirs of Respondent No. 17. Be that as it may. We have not noticed what is the error committed by the co-ordinate Bench while passing on 07.01.2022 in CWJC No. 20477 of 2021. The Review petitioner's counsel could not appraise what is error committed by the co-ordinate Bench so as to invoke order 47 rule 1 read with Section 114 of CPC. On the other



hand, general directions have been issued by the Co-ordinate Bench insofar as consideration of grievances of the petitioner insofar as filing of representation and thereafter, it is required to be considered by the concerned authority within a period of four months. No adverse order has been passed against the appellant herein who was respondent no. 6 in CWJC No. 20477 of 2021. Civil Review Petitioner's counsel is not aware what are further development of the disposal of CWJC No. 20477 of 2021 and so also other side to the extent whether petitioners Sitaram Sahni and others filed representation within a period of Four Weeks from 07.01.2022 and thereafter the concerned authority has proceed to consider their representation within a period of four months from the date of receipt of the representation.

2. In the light of these facts and circumstances, the Review Petitioners have not made out to case so as to review the order of the Co-ordinate Bench dated 07.01.2022, passed in CWJC No. 20477 of 2021.



3. Accordingly, the present Civil Review No. 35 of 2022 stands dismissed reserving liberty to the petitioner to question the validity of the action of the official respondents insofar as any representation of the petitioner – Sitaram Sahni and others having considered by the official respondents and proceed to pass order in their favour. Such action shall be questioned before appropriate forum. With such liberty, the present Civil Review No. 35 of 2022 stands dismissed.

4. Pending IA(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

(S. B. Pd. Singh, J)

Nirajkrs/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	25.07.2025
Transmission Date	NA

