

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4227 of 2025

Jai Mata Dee and Maa Sita Construction having its Registered Office at village Khairahin, P.O. Pachpokhri, P.S. Baghaila (Nokha), District- Rohtas, Bihar through its Proprietor Sanjay Kumar, Male, aged about 48 years, Son of Late Lal Bahadur Ray, resident of village Khairahin, P.O. Pachpokhri, P.S. Baghaila (Nokha), District Rohtas, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Road Construction Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Engineer in Chief, Road Construction Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Managing Director, Bihar State Bridge Construction Corporation, 7, Sardar Patel Marg, Patna 800015, Bihar.
4. The Deputy Chief Engineer, Bihar State Bridge Construction Corporation, 7, Sardar Patel Marg, Patna 800015, Bihar.
5. The Senior Project Engineer, Bihar State Bridge Construction Corporation, Works Division, Ara, District Ara, Bihar.

... .. Respondent/s

with

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 4630 of 2025

Jai Mata Dee and Maa Sita Construction having its Registered Office at Village- Khairahin, P.O.- Pachpokhri, P.S.- Baghaila (Nokha), District- Rohtas, Bihar through its Proprietor Sanjay Kumar, Male, aged about 48 years, Son of Late Lal Bahadur Ray, Resident of Village- Khairahin, P.O.- Pachpokhri, P.S.- Baghaila (Nokha), District- Rohtas, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Road Construction Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Engineer in Chief, Road Construction Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Managing Director, Bihar State Bridge Construction Corporation, 7, Sardar Patel Marg, Patna- 800015, Bihar.
4. The Deputy Chief Engineer, Bihar State Bridge Construction Corporation, 7, Sardar Patel Marg, Patna- 800015, Bihar.
5. The Senior Project Engineer, Bihar State Bridge Construction Corporation, Works Division, Ara, District- Ara, Bihar.



... .. Respondent/s

with

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 5317 of 2025

Jai Mata Dee and Maa Sita Construction, having its Registered Office at Village Khairahin, P O Pachpokhri P.S. Baghaila (Nokha), District-Rohtas, Bihar through its Proprietor Sanjay Kumar, Male aged about 48 Years, Son of Late Lal Bahadur Ray, Resident of Village-Khairahin, P.O. Pachpokhri, P.S. Baghaila (Nokha), District-Rohtas, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Road Construction Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Engineer in Chief, Road Construction Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Managing Director, Bihar State Bridge Construction Corporation, 7, Sardar Patel Marg, Patna. 800015, Bihar.
4. The Deputy Chief Engineer, Bihar State Bridge Construction Corporation, 7, Sardar Patel Marg, Patna. 800015, Bihar.
5. The Senior Project Engineer, Bihar State Bridge Construction Corporation, Works Division, Gaya, District-Gaya, Bihar.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

(In Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 4227 of 2025)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prabhat Ranjan, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Kumar Manish, Standing Counsel (5)

(In Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 4630 of 2025)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prabhat Ranjan, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Kumar Manish, Standing Counsel (5)

(In Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 5317 of 2025)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prabhat Ranjan, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Kumar Manish, Standing Counsel (5)

For the Pul Nigam : Mr. Nadim Seraj, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY

ORAL ORDER



(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 16-04-2025 In all these writ petitions which have been taken up together for consideration, the learned Advocate for the respondents submits that the debarment orders are conditional i.e. that such orders shall have effect only till the completion of the project by the petitioner.

2. The project has been completed in all respects long time back.

3. The only grievance of the petitioner now is that such debarment order is having adverse effect on the petitioner for the reason that such orders have not been brought down from the website of the respondents nor those orders have been specifically denotified.

4. The learned Advocate for the respondents, however, submits that it shall be done within a fortnight.

5. On such assurance of the respondents in all the writ petitions, we consign these petitions as the grievance of the petitioner would not subsist after such debarment orders are denotified.



6. All the three petitions are disposed off accordingly.

(Ashutosh Kumar, ACJ)

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Saurabh/Rajesh

U			
---	--	--	--

