

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.1013 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-306 Year-2024 Thana- MOHANPUR District- Gaya

-
1. Pradip Yadav @ Pradip Kumar S/o- Bilton Yadav Village Po- Demi Tola Pathara Ps- Mohanpur Dist- Gaya
 2. Sonarawa Devi @ Sonar Devi Village Po- Demi Tola Pathara Ps- Mohanpur Dist- Gaya

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Budhan Manjhi S/o- Late Musafir Manjhi Village- Pathara Ps- Mohanpur Dist- Gaya

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s	:	Mr.Rakesh Kumar
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Binay Krishna, Spl. PP
		Mr. Rajbansh Dubey, Adv

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 14-10-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the appellants, learned Spl. P.P. for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Respondent No. 2.

2. This is an appeal under Section 14-A(2) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 (hereinafter referred to as the “SC/ST Act”) against the refusal of prayer for anticipatory bail vide order dated 6-1-2025 in A.B.P. No. 394 of 2024 passed by the learned Special Judge S.C./S.T. (POA) Act, Gaya in connection with Mohanpur P.S. Case No. 306 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 303(2),



351(2) and 3(5) of BNS as well as Sections 3(1)(v) and 3(1)(s) of the SC/ST Act.

3. Learned counsel for the appellants submits that appellants are persons with clean antecedent and appellant No. 2 is a woman and the informant alleges that on 28-10-2024 at about 4 PM, he along with appellant No. 1 was playing cards during which an altercation took place and thereafter appellant No. 1 assaulted him by belt and *Khanti* over his head causing injury and snatched Rs. 5,000/- from the pocket of the informant and even abused him by taking caste name.

4. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellants submits that appellants have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is further submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that appellant No. 1 and the informant were playing cards when a dispute arose. It is also submitted that the occurrence was not witnessed by any independent witnesses nor the same took place in public view. It is next submitted that the allegations are exaggerated.

5. Learned Spl. P.P. for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the appellants.



6. Considering the aforesaid facts, let the appellants above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 5,000/- (Rupees five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with the aforesaid case, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

7. Accordingly, the impugned order is set aside and the appeal stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

