

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17704 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-419 Year-2023 Thana- KATIHAR COMPLAINT CASE
District- Katihar

=====

Md. Noor Alam S/O Late Md. Sagiruddin R/O Village- Das Gram, P.S-
Abadpur, Distt.- Katihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar
2. Gori Khatoon D/O Late Md Niyazuddin R/O Village- Beni Rasalpur, P.S-
Balial Belon, Distt.- Katihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Md. Helal Ahmad, Adv.
For the informant	:	Mr. Ranjay Kumar, Adv.
		Mr. Harish Kumar, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr.Sanjay Kumar Tiwary, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

4 03-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the informant and learned Additional Public Prosecutor
for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case in
connection with Complaint C.A. Case No. 419/2023 dated
10.03.2023 registered for the offences punishable u/ss 341, 323,
498A, 120(b), 504, 506 and 509 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner and the co-
accused persons are alleged to have tortured the informant
mentally and physically due to non-fulfillment of demand of
dowry and ousted her from the matrimonial home.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner. It is further submitted that the petitioner is the husband of the informant. The petitioner neither demanded any dowry nor tortured the informant. The petitioner has relied upon the judgment of this Court in the case of **"Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar, reported in 2006(3) PLJR 182."** Learned counsel has further submitted that Section 498A of the IPC is triable by the Magistrate. Learned counsel has further relied on the judgments in the case of **Satendra Kumar Antil Vs. Central Bureau of Investigation and Another (2022) 10 SCR 351** and **Md. Asfak Alam Vs. The State of Jharkhand & Anr passed in Criminal Appeal No(s). 2207 of 2023 arising out of Special Leave Petition (CRL.) No. 3433 of 2023**. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. As per the mediation report dated 13.10.2025, the mediation for amicable settlement between the parties has failed.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the allegation being general and omnibus



against the petitioner, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Katihar in connection with Complaint C.A. Case No. 419/2023, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure with further condition :-

(i) The petitioner is directed to remain physically present before the learned Court below on each and every date, failing which on two consecutive dates without reasonable cause, the bail bond of the petitioner is liable to be cancelled.

7. If so advised, either of the parties will be at liberty to make an application before the Court below for referring the matter to the District Mediation Centre for the purpose of reconciliation or one time settlement.

8. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Gautam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

